arXiv:0804.1820v1 [math.CT] 11 Apr 2008

STRUCTURE OF ANN-CATEGORIES

Nguyen Tien Quang

April 13, 2008

Abstract

This paper presents the structure conversion by which from an Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$, we can obtain its reduced Ann-category of the type (R, M) whose structure is a family of five functions $k = (\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$. Then we will show that each Ann-category is determined by three invariants:

1. The ring $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ of the isomorphic classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}$,
2. $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$-bimodule $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}}(0)$,
3. The element $\bar{k} \in H^3_M(\Pi_0(\mathcal{A}), \Pi_1(\mathcal{A}))$ (the ring cohomology due to MacLane).

1 Introduction and Preliminary

The axiomatics and the coherence theorem of Ann-categories are presented in the papers [7], [8]. An Ann-category which satisfies the condition $c_{A,A} = id$ for all objects A is called a *regular* one. These categories directly relate to the ring extension problem, and have been classified by the cohomology group $H^3_S(R, M)$ of the ring R, which is regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, due to Shukla. In [11], the classification theorem of regular Ann-categories was presented based on the cohomology classification of the structures of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) in the general case. The difference between the general case and the regular case is that the structure $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ of a regular Ann-category has a bonus property $\eta(x, x) = 0$. It is this property which makes the structure $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ of a regular Ann-category an *obstruction* of a ring extension, as well as a 3-cocycle in $H^3_S(R, M)$. At that time, we did not know that when the regularity of the function η is omitted, then the structure $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ is just a 3-cocycle in $H^3(R, M)$ due to MacLane. Furthermore, in the above paper many results are presented briefly, without complete proofs.

In this paper, we present the structure of Ann-categories in the general case with the detail proofs. The main result is that we have established the action from the ring $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ of the isomorphic classes of objects $\mathcal{A}$ to the abelian group $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}}(0)$ and we have shown that $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}}(0)$ is a $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$-bimodule. This leads to the classification of *pre-sticked* Ann-categories of the type (R, M), where M is a R-bimodule. The most important result is that each structure of *pre-sticked* Ann-categories of the type (R, M) is a 3-cocycle of the ring R, with the coefficients in M, and it follows that there is a bijection between the set of congruence classes of these Ann-categories and the group of ring cohomonlogy $H^3(R, M)$ due to MacLane. The structure conversion is the main means to obtain this fundamental result.

From the classification theorems for regular Ann-categories and for the general case, we have the following result: there exists an injection

$$H^3_S(R, M) \to H^3(R, M)$$

from the Shukla cohomology to the MacLane cohomology.

There is a direct proof of this assertion relying on the above cohomology definitions, but we think that it is quite complicated.

In [1], M. Jiblatze and T. Pirashvili considered *categorical rings* as a variant of Ann-categories and classified them by the MacLane cohomology. We have considered the relationship between two classes of these categories and have shown that the concept of *categorical rings* together with some additional condition is equivalent to the concept of *Ann-categories* (see [15]). This suggests us to affirm that each cohomology class of the structures in the general case corresponds to a class of ring cohomology (due to MacLane) in the 3th-dimensional.

2 Elementary concepts

We start with some elementary concepts of monoidal categories.

A monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$ is a category $\mathcal{C}$ which is equipped with a tensor product $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$; with an object I, called *the unit* of the category; together with the following natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} a_{A,B,C} &: A \otimes (B \otimes C) \to (A \otimes B) \otimes C \\ l_A &: I \otimes A \to A \\ r_A &: A \otimes I \to A \end{aligned}$$

which are, respectively, called *the associativity constraint, the left unitivity constraint* and *the right unitivity constraint.* These constraints must satisfy the Pentagon Axiom

$$(a_{A,B,C} \otimes id_D)\ a_{A,B\otimes C,D}\ (id_A \otimes a_{B,C,D}) = a_{A\otimes B,C,D}\ a_{A,B,C\otimes D},$$

and the Triangle Axiom

$$id_A \otimes l_B = (r_A \otimes id_B) a_{A,I,B}.$$

A monoidal category is *strict* if the associativity and unitivity constraints $a,\ l,\ r$ are all identities.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A}', \otimes, I', a', l', r')$ be monoidal categories. *A monoidal functor* from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$ is a triple $(F, \widetilde{F}, \hat{F})$ where $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is a functor, $\widehat{F} : I' \to FI$ is an isomorphism and the natural isomorphism

$$\widetilde{F}_{A,B} : FA \otimes FB \to F(A \otimes B)$$

satisfying the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FA \otimes (FB \otimes FC) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\widetilde{F}"] \arrow[d, "a'"'] & FA \otimes F(B \otimes C) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}"] & F(A \otimes (B \otimes C)) \arrow[d, "F(a)"] \\
(FA \otimes FB) \otimes FC \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}\otimes id"] & F(A \otimes B) \otimes FC \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}"] & F((A \otimes B) \otimes C)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FA \otimes FI \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}"] \arrow[d, "id\otimes\hat{F}"'] & F(A \otimes I) \arrow[d, "F(l)"] \\
FA \otimes I' \arrow[r, "r'"] & FA
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
FI \otimes FA \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}"] \arrow[d, "\hat{F}\otimes id"'] & F(I \otimes A) \arrow[d, "F(r)"] \\
I' \otimes FA \arrow[r, "l'"] & FA
\end{tikzcd}$$

A natural monoidal transformation $\alpha : (F, \widetilde{F}, \widehat{F}) \to (G, \widetilde{G}, \widehat{G})$ between monoidal functors, from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$, is a natural transformation $\alpha : F \to G$ such that the following diagrams commute, for all pairs $(X,\ Y)$ of objects in $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \otimes FY \arrow[r, "\alpha_X \otimes \alpha_Y"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"'] & GX \otimes GY \arrow[d, "\widetilde{G}"] \\
F(X \otimes Y) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{X \otimes Y}"] & G(X \otimes Y)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
FI \arrow[rr, "\alpha_I"] \arrow[dr, "\widehat{F}"'] & & GI \\
& I' \arrow[ur, "\widehat{G}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

A monoidal equivalence between monoidal categories is a monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that there exists a monoidal functor $G : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A}$ and natural isomorphism monoidal functors $\alpha : G.F \to id_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\beta : F.G \to id_{\mathcal{A}'}$.

$\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are *monoidal equivalent* if there exists a monoidal equivalence between them.

From Theorem 2 [13], $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is a monoidal equivalence iff F is a categorical equivalence.

A monoidal category is a *Gr-category* (or *categorical group*) if its objects are all invertible and the background category is a *groupoid*. A *Picard* category (or *Pic-category*) is a *Gr-category* together with a commutativity constraint which is compatible with associativity constraint.

Definition 1. *An Ann-category consists of:*
(i) A category $\mathcal{A}$ together with two bifunctors $\oplus, \otimes : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$;
(ii) A fixed object $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ with natural isomorphisms a_+, c, g, d such that $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus, a_+, c, (0, g, d))$ is a Pic-category;
(iii) A fixed object $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ with natural isomorphisms a, l, r such that $(\mathcal{A}, \otimes, a, (\mathbf{1}, l, r))$ is a monoidal category;
(iv) Natural isomorphisms $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}$

$$\begin{array}{rlcl}
\mathfrak{L}_{A,X,Y} : & A \otimes (X \oplus Y) & \longrightarrow & (A \otimes X) \oplus (A \otimes Y) \\
\mathfrak{R}_{X,Y,A} : & (X \oplus Y) \otimes A & \longrightarrow & (X \otimes A) \oplus (Y \otimes A)
\end{array}$$

satisfy the following conditions:

(Ann - 1) For each object $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the pairs $(L^A, \breve{L}^A), (R^A, \breve{R}^A)$ defined by the relations:

$$\begin{array}{lcllcl}
L^A & = & A \otimes - \qquad & R^A & = & - \otimes A \\
\breve{L}^A_{X,Y} & = & \mathfrak{L}_{A,X,Y} \qquad & \breve{R}^A_{X,Y} & = & \mathfrak{R}_{X,Y,A}
\end{array}$$

are $\oplus$-functors which are compatible with a_+ and c.

(Ann - 2) For any $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(AB)(X \oplus Y) \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^{AB}"'] & A(B(X \oplus Y)) \arrow[l, "a_{A,B,X \oplus Y}"'] \arrow[r, "id_A \otimes \breve{L}^B"] & A(BX \oplus BY) \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^A"] \\
(AB)X \oplus (AB)Y & & A(BX) \oplus A(BY) \arrow[ll, "a_{A,B,X} \oplus a_{A,B,Y}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X \oplus Y)(BA) \arrow[r, "a_{X \oplus Y,B,A}"] \arrow[d, "\breve{R}^{BA}"'] & ((X \oplus Y)B)A \arrow[r, "\breve{R}^B \otimes id_A"] & (XB \oplus YB)A \arrow[d, "\breve{R}^A"] \\
X(BA) \oplus Y(BA) \arrow[rr, "a_{X,B,A} \oplus a_{Y,B,A}"] & & (XB)A \oplus (YB)A
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(A(X \oplus Y)B \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^A \otimes id_B"'] & A((X \oplus Y)B) \arrow[l, "a_{A,X \oplus Y,B}"'] \arrow[r, "id_A \otimes \breve{R}^B"] & A(XB \oplus YB) \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^A"] \\
(AX \oplus AY)B \arrow[r, "\breve{R}^B"] & (AX)B \oplus (AY)B & A(XB) \oplus A(YB) \arrow[l, "a \oplus a"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(A\oplus B)X\oplus(A\oplus B)Y \arrow[d, "\breve{R}^X\oplus\breve{R}^Y"'] & (A\oplus B)(X\oplus Y) \arrow[l, "\breve{L}^{A\oplus B}"'] \arrow[r, "\breve{R}^{X\oplus Y}"] & A(X\oplus Y)\oplus B(X\oplus Y) \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^A\oplus\breve{L}^B"] \\
(AX\oplus BX)\oplus(AY\oplus BY) \arrow[rr, "v"] & & (AX\oplus AY)\oplus(BX\oplus BY)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute, where $v = v_{U,V,Z,T} : (U\oplus V)\oplus(Z\oplus T) \longrightarrow (U\oplus Z)\oplus(V\oplus T)$ *is the unique morphism constructed from* $\oplus, a_+, c, id$ *in the symmetric monoidal category* $(\mathcal{A},\oplus)$.

(Ann - 3) For the unit $\mathbf{1}\in\mathcal{A}$ *of the operation* $\otimes$*, the following diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1}(X\oplus Y) \arrow[rr, "\breve{L}^{\mathbf{1}}"] \arrow[dr, "l_{X\oplus Y}"'] & & \mathbf{1}X\oplus\mathbf{1}Y \arrow[dl, "l_X\oplus l_Y"] & (X\oplus Y)\mathbf{1} \arrow[rr, "\breve{R}^{\mathbf{1}}"] \arrow[dr, "r_{X\oplus Y}"'] & & X\mathbf{1}\oplus Y\mathbf{1} \arrow[dl, "r_X\oplus r_Y"] \\
& X\oplus Y & & & X\oplus Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

It follows from the definition of Ann-categories that

Lemma 1. *In Ann-category* $\mathcal{A}$ *there exists uniquely the homomorphisms:*

$$\hat{L}^A : A\otimes 0 \longrightarrow 0, \qquad \hat{R}^A : 0\otimes A \longrightarrow 0$$

such that the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
AX & A(0\oplus X) \arrow[l, "L^A(g)"'] \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^A"] & AX & A(X\oplus 0) \arrow[l, "L^A(d)"'] \arrow[d, "\breve{L}^A"] \\
0\oplus AX \arrow[u, "g"] & A0\oplus AX \arrow[l, "\hat{L}^A\oplus id"'] & AX\oplus 0 \arrow[u, "d"] & AX\oplus A0 \arrow[l, "id\oplus\hat{L}^A"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
AX & (0\oplus X)A \arrow[l, "R^A(g)"'] \arrow[d, "\breve{R}^A"] & AX & (X\oplus 0)A \arrow[l, "R^A(d)"'] \arrow[d, "\breve{R}^A"] \\
0\oplus AX \arrow[u, "g"] & 0A\oplus XA \arrow[l, "\hat{R}^A\oplus id"'] & AX\oplus 0 \arrow[u, "d"] & XA\oplus 0A \arrow[l, "id\oplus\hat{R}^A"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. That is, L^A *and* R^A *are* $\oplus$*-functors which are compatible with the unitivity constraint.*

Proof. Since the pair $(L^A, \breve{L}^A)$ is $\oplus$-functors which are compatible with the associativity constraint a of the Picard category $(\mathcal{A},\oplus)$, it is compatible with the unitivity constraint $(0, g, d)$. This means that there exists uniquely isomorphism $\hat{L}^A$ satisfying the first diagrams of the lemma. The proof of $\hat{R}^A$ is completely analogous. □

Lemma 2. *In any Ann-category* $\mathcal{A}$*, the isomorphisms* $\widehat{L}^A$*,* $\widehat{R}^A$ *have the following properties*

(i) The family $\widehat{L}^- = \widehat{L}$ *(resp. the family* $\widehat{R}^- = \widehat{R}$*) is a* $\oplus$*-morphism from the functor* $(R^0, \breve{R}^0)$ *(resp.* $(L^0, \breve{L}^0)$*) to the functor* $(\theta : A \mapsto 0, \breve{\theta} = g_0^{-1})$*, i.e., the following diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A0 \arrow[r, "f\otimes id"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^A"'] & B0 \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^B"] & (X\oplus Y)0 \arrow[r, "\breve{R}^0"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^{X\oplus Y}"] & X0\oplus Y0 \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^X\oplus\widehat{L}^Y"] \\
0 \arrow[r, equal] & 0 & 0 & 0\oplus 0 \arrow[l, "g_0"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

(resp. $\widehat{R}^B(id\otimes f) = \widehat{R}^A$ *and* $\widehat{R}^{X\oplus Y} = g_0(\widehat{R}^X\oplus\widehat{R}^Y)\breve{L}^0$*).*

(ii) For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(0Y) \arrow[rr, "a"] \arrow[d, "id\otimes\widehat{R}^X"'] & & (X0)Y \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^X\otimes id"] \\
X0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"] & 0 & 0Y \arrow[l, "\widehat{R}^Y"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
X(Y0) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\widehat{L}^Y"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & X0 \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^X"] \\
(XY)0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^{XY}"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute and $\widehat{R}^{XY} = \widehat{R}^Y(\widehat{R}^X \otimes id)a_{0,X,Y}$.

(iii) $L^1 = l_0$, $R^1 = r_0$.

The properties of the isomorphisms $\hat{L}^A$, $\hat{R}^A$ are presented in [7], Proposition 3.2. They are necessary for the proofs of the section 5.

3 Ann-functors and the structure conversion

Definition 2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be Ann-categories. An Ann-functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$ is a triple $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$, where $(F, \breve{F})$ is a Pic-functor for the operation $\oplus$, $(F, \widetilde{F})$ is a functor which is compatible with the associativity constraint of the operation $\otimes$ and satisfies two following commutative diagrams:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X(Y\oplus Z)) \arrow[d, "F(\mathfrak{L})"'] & FX.F(Y\oplus Z) \arrow[l, "\widetilde{F}"'] & FX(FY\oplus FZ) \arrow[l, "id\otimes\breve{F}"'] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{L}'"] \\
F(XY\oplus XZ) & F(XY)\oplus F(XZ) \arrow[l, "\breve{F}"'] & FX.FY\oplus FX.FZ \arrow[l, "\widetilde{F}\oplus\widetilde{F}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1a}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F((X\oplus Y)Z) \arrow[d, "F(\mathfrak{R})"'] & F(X\oplus Y).FZ \arrow[l, "\widetilde{F}"'] & (FX\oplus FY)FZ \arrow[l, "\breve{F}\otimes id"'] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{R}'"] \\
F(XZ\oplus YZ) & F(XZ)\oplus F(YZ) \arrow[l, "\breve{F}"'] & FX.FZ\oplus FY.FZ \arrow[l, "\widetilde{F}\oplus\widetilde{F}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1b}$$

These commutative diagrams are also called the compatibility of the functor F with the distributivity constraints.
We call $\varphi : F \to G$ an Ann-morphism between two Ann-functors $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ and $(G, \breve{G}, \widetilde{G})$ if it is both an $\oplus$-morphism and an $\otimes$-morphism.

Ann-functor $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is called an Ann-equivalence if there exists an Ann-functor $(G, \breve{G}, \widetilde{G}) : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A}$ and Ann-natural isomorphisms $\alpha : G \circ F \to id$, $\beta : F \circ G \to id$.

By the Theorem 8 [13] $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ *is an Ann-equivalence iff F is a categorical equivalence.*

Now, we consider the problem of the structure conversion of Ann-categories by an Ann-equivalence.

Lemma 3. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be an equivalence of categories and $G : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A}$ be a quasi-inverse of F. Then, we can choose natural isomorphisms $\alpha : G \circ F \simeq id_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\beta : F \circ G \simeq id'_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that*

$$F(\alpha_A) = \beta_{FA}; \quad G(\beta_B) = \alpha_{GB}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all objects $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{A}'$.

Lemma 4. *Let $(F, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be a $\otimes$-functor of $\otimes$-categories and (F, G, α, β) be a quadruple satisfying the above relations. Then, there exists the natural isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}_{X,Y} : GX.GY \to G(XY)$$

such that $(G, \widetilde{G})$ is a $\otimes$-functor and $\alpha,\ \beta$ are $\otimes$-morphisms.

For the quadruple (F, G, α, β), we can establish the structure conversion from $\mathcal{A}'$ to $\mathcal{A}$. $\otimes$ is the tensor product in A', then $\mathcal{A}$ could be equipped with a tensor product defined by

$$\begin{aligned} U \otimes V &= G(FU \otimes FV) \\ u \otimes v &= G(Fu \otimes Fv) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all pairs $(U,\ V)$ of objects and all pairs $(u,\ v)$ of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$.

For this tensor product, $F,\ G$ become tensor functors with natural tensor transformations $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{G}$ defined as follows

$$\widetilde{F}_{U,V} = \beta^{-1}_{FU \otimes FV}, \qquad \widetilde{G}_{A,B} = G(\beta_A \otimes \beta_B) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $U, V \in \mathcal{C},\ A, B \in \mathcal{D}$.

If $\mathcal{A}'$ has the unitivity constraint (I', l', r'), we can define an unitivity constraint (I, l, r) of $\mathcal{C}$ by the following commutative diagrams, where $I = GI'$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I \otimes X \arrow[rr, "l_X"] \arrow[d, "id \otimes \alpha_X"'] & & X \arrow[d, "\alpha_X"] \\
GI' \otimes GFX \arrow[r, "\widetilde{G}"] & G(I' \otimes FX) \arrow[r, "G(l')"] & GFX
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.5a}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \otimes I \arrow[rr, "r_X"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_X \otimes id"'] & & X \arrow[d, "\alpha_X"] \\
GFX \otimes GI' \arrow[r, "\widetilde{G}"] & G(FX \otimes I') \arrow[r, "G(r')"] & GFX
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.5b}$$

Then, $(F, \widetilde{F})$ and $(G, \widetilde{G})$ are $\otimes$-functors which are compatible with unitivity constraints of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$.

Being different from the unitivity constraint, the associativity constraint a of $\mathcal{A}$ induced by the associativity constraint a' of $\mathcal{A}'$ only depends on $\otimes$-functor $(F, \widetilde{F})$ due to the commutative diagram (1.1).

First, we obtain the result of the structure conversion of monoidal categories.

Theorem 5. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be a categorical equivalence and (F, G, α, β) be the quadruple satisfying the relations* (3.2). *Assume that there is a monoidal structure $(\otimes, a', I', l', r')$ on $\mathcal{A}'$. In addition, the induced monoidal structure of $\mathcal{A}$ has the unitivity constraint (I, l, r) and $\widetilde{F}$ is the natural isomorphism such that $(F, \widetilde{F})$ is compatible with the unitivity constraints of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a monoidal category. In particular, we can define the natural isomorphism $\widetilde{F}$ by* (3.4) *and the unitivity constraint (I, l, r) by* (3.5a) *and* (3.5b).

Proof. See Theorem 3 [14]. □

Two following corollaries immediately follow from Theorem 3.4

Corollary 6. *If $\mathcal{A}'$ is the symmetric monoidal category with the commutativity constraint c', the monoidal category $\mathcal{A}$ mentioned in Theorem 3.4 is also symmetric with the commutativity constraint c defined by*

$$F(c) = \widetilde{F}^{-1} \circ c'_{FX,FY} \circ \widetilde{F} \qquad (3.6)$$

Corollary 7. *If $(\mathcal{A}', \oplus, \otimes)$ is an Ann-category, $\mathcal{A}$ will become a Picard category with the operation induced by the operation $\oplus$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is also a monoidal category with the operation induced by the operation $\otimes$.*

For the distributivity constraints, we have the following proposition

Proposition 8. *Let $\mathcal{A}'$ be an Ann-category with the family of constraints*

$$(a'_+, c', (0', g', d'), a', (I', l', r'), \mathfrak{L}', \mathfrak{R}')$$

and $\mathcal{A}$ be an category with two operations $\oplus$, $\otimes$ such that $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus)$ is a Picard category with constraints $(a_+, c, (0, g, d))$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \otimes)$ is a monoidal category with the constraints $(a, (I, l, r))$. Furthermore, assume that $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is a categorical equivalence such that $(F, \breve{F})$ is a Pic-functor and $(F, \widetilde{F})$ is a monoidal functor. Then, the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}$, defined by the commutative diagrams (3.1) are distributivity constraints and $\mathcal{A}$ becomes an Ann-category, and $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ becomes an Ann-equivalence.

Proof. See Proposition 4.2 [7], Proposition II.1.4 [9]. □

The above propositions suggest us to state the theorem of the structure conversion of Ann-categories as below

Theorem 9. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be a categorical equivalence together with the quadruple (F, G, α, β) satisfying the relation (3.2), and $\mathcal{A}'$ be an Ann-category. Furthermore, assume that two operations on $\mathcal{A}$ induced by F, F' have the unitivity constraints $(0, g, d)$, (I, l, r), and $\breve{F}$, $\widetilde{F}$ are two natural isomorphisms such that $(F, \breve{F})$, $(F, \widetilde{F})$ are, respectively, compatible with unitivity constraints in terms of each operation $\oplus$, $\otimes$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ becomes an Ann-category with the inducing constraints, determined by the commutative diagrams (2.1), (3.6), (3.1a), (3.1b), and $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ is an Ann-equivalence. Particularly, one can choose $\breve{F}$, $\widetilde{F}$ by (3.4) and $(0, g, d)$, (I, l, r) by (3.5a), (3.5b).*

The first corollary is the "stricticizing" of constraints.

Corollary 10. *Each Ann-category is Ann-equivalent to an Ann-category whose associativity and unitivity constraints of the operation $\oplus$ are strict.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{A}'$ is an Ann-category. Then $(\mathcal{A}', \oplus)$ is monoidal equivalent to a Pic-category $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus)$ whose associativity and unitivity constraints are strict (see Theorem 14 [13]). According to the Propositions 3.6 and 3.7, by the structure conversion, the Pic-category $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus)$ becomes an Ann-category equivalent to $\mathcal{A}'$. □

4 The first invariants of an Ann-category

As we know (See [15]), each Gr-category $\mathcal{P}$ is uniquely determined, up to an equivalence, by three characteristic invariants:

1. The group $\Pi_0(\mathcal{P})$ of the isomorphic classes of objects of $\mathcal{P}$
2. $\Pi_0(\mathcal{P})$-left module $\Pi_1(\mathcal{P}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{P}}(0)$
3. The element $\xi \in H^3(\Pi_0(\mathcal{P}), \Pi_1(\mathcal{P}))$ (group cohomology).

The action of the group $\Pi_0(\mathcal{P})$ on the abelian group $\Pi_1(\mathcal{P})$ is given by:

$$su = \gamma_X^{-1}\delta_X(u), \qquad X \in s \in \Pi_0(\mathcal{P}), u \in \Pi_1(\mathcal{P})$$

where γ_X, δ_X are isomorphisms determined by the commutative squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\gamma_X(u)"] & X \\ 0 \oplus X \arrow[u, "g"] \arrow[r, "u \oplus id"] & 0 \oplus X \arrow[u, "g"'] \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\delta_X(u)"] & X \\ X \oplus 0 \arrow[u, "d"] \arrow[r, "id \oplus u"] & X \oplus 0 \arrow[u, "d"'] \end{tikzcd} \qquad (4.0)$$

When $\mathcal{P}$ is a Picard category, $\gamma_X = \delta_X$; hence, the above action is trivial. Thus, for the Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$ we will construct a new action and prove that with this action, $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a bimodule on the ring $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an Ann-category with the family of constraints

$$(a_+, c, (0, g, d), a, (\mathbf{1}, l, r), \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}).$$

Then, the set of the isomorphic classes of objects $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a ring with two operations $+, \times$, induced by the ones $\oplus, \otimes$ in $\mathcal{A}$, and $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Aut}(0)$ is an abelian group with the composition law denoted by $+$.

We construct the actions of the ring $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ on the abelian group $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ so that $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ becomes a $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$-bimodule.

Definition 3. *The maps* $\lambda_X, \rho_X : \mathrm{Aut}(0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(0)$ *are given by the following commutative diagrams, for* $X \in ob(\mathcal{A})$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X.0 \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^X"] \arrow[d, "id\otimes u"'] & 0 \arrow[d, "\lambda_X(u)"] \\
X.0 \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^X"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
0.X \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^X"] \arrow[d, "u\otimes id"'] & 0 \arrow[d, "\rho_X(u)"] \\
0.X \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^X"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{4.1}$$

Proposition 11. *If* $X, Y \in ob(\mathcal{A})$, $\overline{X} = \overline{Y}$, *then* $\lambda_X = \lambda_Y$ *and* $\rho_X = \rho_Y$.

Proof. Consider the diagram 1

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X.0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"] \arrow[d, "id\otimes u"'] \arrow[rrr, bend left, "id"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(I)"] & 0 \arrow[r, equal, "(V)"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_X(u)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(II)"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\lambda_Y(u)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(III)"] & Y.0 \arrow[l, "\widehat{L}^Y"'] \arrow[d, "id\otimes u"] \\
X.0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"'] \arrow[rrr, bend right, "id"'] & 0 \arrow[r, equal, "(IV)"'] & 0 & Y.0 \arrow[l, "\widehat{L}^Y"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 1

In this diagram, the regions (I) and (III) commute by the definition of λ_X, λ_X; the regions (IV) and (V) commute by the Proposition 2.3; obviously, the outside region commutes by the composition of morphisms. It follows that the region (II) commutes, i.e., $\lambda_X(u) = \lambda_Y(u)$. The proof of $\rho_X = \rho_Y$ is completely analogous. □

Theorem 12. *The left and right actions of the ring* $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ *on the abelian group* $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ *defined, respectively, by the following relations*

$$su = \lambda_X(u), \qquad us = \rho_X(u) \tag{4.2}$$

where $X \in s, s \in \Pi_0(\mathcal{A}), u \in \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ *make* $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ *become a* $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$*-bimodule.*

Proof. We have to prove that the actions su, us satisfy the following equalities:

a) $s(u_1 + u_2) = su_1 + su_2$, *a′)* $(u_1 + u_2)s = u_1 s + u_2 s$
b) $(s_1 + s_2)u = s_1 u + s_2 u$, *b′)* $u(s_1 + s_2) = us_1 + us_2$
c) $s_1(s_2 u) = (s_1 s_2)u$, *c′)* $(us_1)s_2 = u(s_1 s_2)$
d) $1u = u$, *d′)* $u1 = u$
e) $(ru)s = r(us)$

1. In order to prove the relation a), we consider the diagram 2

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X.0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"] \arrow[d, "id\otimes u_1"'] \arrow[dd, bend right=80, "id\otimes(u_1+u_2)"'] \arrow[d, phantom, bend right=60, "(III)"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\lambda_X(u_1)"] \arrow[dd, bend left=80, "\lambda_X(u_1+u_2)"] \arrow[d, phantom, bend left=60, "(IV)"] \\
X.0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"] \arrow[d, "id\otimes u_2"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "(I)"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\lambda_X(u_2)"] \\
X.0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "(II)"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 2

where the regions (I) and (II) commute by the definition of λ_X, the region (III) commutes by the composition of morphisms; the outside region commutes by the definition of λ_X. It follows that the region (IV) commutes, i.e., $\lambda_X(u_1+u_2)=\lambda_X(u_1)+\lambda_X(u_2)$ or $s(u_1+u_2)=su_1+su_2$.

The equality a') is analogously proved by the definition of ρ_X.

2. In order to prove the relation b), first we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0\oplus 0 \arrow[r, "u\oplus id"] \arrow[d, "d_0=g_0"'] & 0\oplus 0 \arrow[r, "id\oplus v"] \arrow[d, "d_0"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "(I)"] & 0\oplus 0 \arrow[d, "d_0=g_0"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "(II)"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "\gamma_0(u)=u"] & 0 \arrow[r, "\delta_0(v)=v"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the regions (I), (II) commute, respectively, by the definitions of γ_0,δ_0; and $\gamma_0(u)=u$ ($\delta_0(v)=v$) by the functoriality of d_0 (g_0). It follows that the outside region commutes, i.e.,

$$g_0(u\oplus v)g_0^{-1}=u+v \qquad (3.3)$$

This equality establishes an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \omega:\mathrm{Aut}(0\oplus 0) &\ \to\ \mathrm{Aut}(0)\\ u\oplus v &\ \mapsto\ u+v \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the following diagram 3

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X.0\oplus Y.0 \arrow[rrr, "(id\otimes u)\oplus(id\otimes u)"] \arrow[dr, "\hat{L}^X\oplus\hat{L}^Y"] & & & X.0\oplus Y.0 \arrow[dl, "\hat{L}^X\oplus\hat{L}^Y"'] \\
& 0\oplus 0 \arrow[r, "\lambda_X(u)\oplus\lambda_Y(u)"] \arrow[d, "g_0"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "(I)"] \arrow[d, phantom, shift right=30, "(II)"] & 0\oplus 0 \arrow[d, "g_0"] \arrow[d, phantom, shift left=30, "(IV)"] & \\
& 0 \arrow[r, "\lambda_{X\oplus Y}(u)"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "(III)"] & 0 & \\
(X\oplus Y).0 \arrow[uuu, "\breve{R}^0"] \arrow[ur, "\hat{L}^{X\oplus Y}"'] \arrow[rrr, "id\otimes u"] \arrow[rrr, phantom, shift left=12, "(V)"] & & & (X\oplus Y).0 \arrow[uuu, "\breve{R}^0"'] \arrow[ul, "\hat{L}^{X\oplus Y}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 3

In this diagram, the region (I) commutes by the definitions of λ_X,λ_Y; the region (V) commutes by the definition of $\lambda_{X\oplus Y}$; the regions (II) and (IV) commute by the properties of isomorphisms L^A, R^A; the outside region commutes by the functoriality of $\breve{R}^0$. It follows that the region (III) commutes. Also by the relation (4.3), we have $\lambda_{X\oplus Y}(u) = \lambda_X(u) + \lambda_Y(u)$, or $(s+r)u = su + ru$ for $X \in s, Y \in r$. The relation b') is analogously proved.

3. In order to prove the relation c), we consider the diagram 4

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[dd, equal] \arrow[rrr, bend left, "\lambda_{XY}(u)"] & (X.Y).0 \arrow[l, "\widehat{L}^{XY}"'] \arrow[r, "(id\otimes id)\otimes u"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{(III)}"] & (X.Y).0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^{XY}"] & 0 \arrow[dd, equal] \\
\text{(II)} & X.(Y.0) \arrow[u, "a"'] \arrow[d, "id\otimes\widehat{L}^{Y}"] \arrow[r, "id\otimes(id\otimes u)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{(IV)}"] & X.(Y.0) \arrow[u, "a"'] \arrow[d, "id\otimes\widehat{L}^{Y}"] & \text{(V)} \\
0 \arrow[rrr, bend right, "\lambda_X(\lambda_Y(u))"'] & X.0 \arrow[l, "\widehat{L}^{X}"'] \arrow[r, "id\otimes\lambda_Y(u))"] & X.0 \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^{X}"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 4

In this diagram, the region (I) commutes by the definition of $\lambda_{X.Y}$; the region (VI) commutes by the definition of λ_X; the region (IV) commutes by the definition of λ_Y; the region (III) commutes by the functoriality of a, the regions (II) and (V) commute by the properties of isomorphisms L^A, R^A. It follows that the outside region commutes, i.e., $\lambda_{X.Y}(u) = \lambda_X(\lambda_Y(u))$, or $(sr)u = s(ru)$ for $X \in s, Y \in r$. The relation c) is analogously proved.

4. By the relation $\hat{L}^{\mathbf{1}} = l_0$ and by the nature of l_0 we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1}.0 \arrow[r, dash, "\hat{L}^{\mathbf{1}}=l_0"] \arrow[d, dash, "id\otimes u"'] & 0 \arrow[d, dash, "u"] \\
\mathbf{1}.0 \arrow[r, dash, "\hat{L}^{\mathbf{1}}=l_0"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

This means that $u = \lambda_1(u)$ by the definition of λ_1. Thus $1u = u$. Analogously, $u1 = u$.

5. Finally, we prove that $(su)r = s(ur)$. Consider the diagram 5 In this diagram, the regions (I) and (V) commute by the properties of isomorphisms L^A, R^A, the regions (II) and (VII) commute by the definition of ρ_Y; the region (III) commutes by the nature of a; the regions (IV) and (VI) commute by the definition of λ_X. It follows that the outside region commutes, i.e., $\rho_Y(\lambda_X(u)) = \lambda_X(\rho_Y(u))$, or $(su)r = s(ur)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
O \arrow[rrr, bend left=40, "\lambda_X(\rho_Y(u))"] \arrow[ddd, no head] \arrow[rddd, phantom, "(I)"] & XO \arrow[l, "\widehat{L}^X"'] \arrow[r, "id\otimes\rho_Y(u)"] \arrow[r, phantom, "(VI)", shift left=4ex] \arrow[rd, phantom, "(II)"] & XO \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^X"] \arrow[rddd, phantom, "(V)"] & O \arrow[ddd, no head] \\
 & X(OY) \arrow[u, "id\otimes\widehat{R}^Y"] \arrow[r, "id\otimes(u\otimes id)"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[rd, phantom, "(III)"] & X(OY) \arrow[u, "id\otimes\widehat{R}^Y"'] \arrow[d, "a"] & \\
 & (XO)Y \arrow[r, "(id\otimes u)\otimes id"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^X\otimes id"'] \arrow[rd, phantom, "(IV)"] & (XO)Y \arrow[d, "\widehat{L}^X\otimes id"] & \\
O \arrow[rrr, bend right=40, "\rho_Y(\lambda_X(u))"'] & OY \arrow[l, "\widehat{R}^Y"] \arrow[r, "\lambda_X(u)\otimes id"] \arrow[r, phantom, "(VII)"', shift right=4ex] & OY \arrow[r, "\widehat{R}^Y"'] & O
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 5 □

Remark 13. *The isomorphisms* λ_X, ρ_X *and the isomorphisms* γ_X *in the diagrams (4.0), (4.1) concern with each other by the relations*

$$\lambda_X(u) = \gamma^{-1}_{X.0}(id\otimes u); \qquad \rho_X(u) = \gamma^{-1}_{0.X}(u\otimes id) \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. First, we can see that if $(F, \breve{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a $\oplus$-functor which is compatible with the unit $(0, g, d)$, then the following square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F0 \arrow[r, "\gamma_{F0}(u)"] \arrow[d, "\hat{F}"'] & F0 \arrow[d, "\hat{F}"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "u"] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \qquad (*)$$

commutes.

Indeed, the family $(\gamma_X(u))_X, X \in ob(\mathcal{A})$ is an automorphism of the identical functor I_A. We also have $\gamma_0(u) = u$ so the above square commutes. Now, replacing $F0 = X.0$ and $u = \gamma^{-1}_{X.0}(id\otimes v)$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X0 \arrow[r, "id\otimes v"] \arrow[d, "\hat{L}^X"'] & X0 \arrow[d, "\hat{L}^X"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "\gamma^{-1}_{X.0}(id\otimes v)"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

This shows that $\gamma^{-1}_{X.0}(id\otimes v) = \lambda_X(v)$ by the definition of λ_X. The relation of ρ_X follows analogously. □

Remark 14. *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *is an* $\oplus$*-category together with the unitivity constraint* $(0, g, d)$ *and* $(F, \breve{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ *is an* $\oplus$*-functor which is compatible with the unit, we have the following relation*

$$F(\gamma_X(u)) = \gamma_{FX}(\gamma^{-1}_{F0}(Fu)) : F(\delta_X(u)) = \delta_{FX}(\delta^{-1}_{F0}(Fu)) \qquad (4.4)$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram 6

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0\oplus FX \arrow[d, "\gamma_{F0}^{-1}(Fu)\oplus id"'] \arrow[rrr, bend left=30, "g_{FX}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(II)"] & F0\oplus FX \arrow[l, "\hat{F}\oplus id"'] \arrow[d, "Fu\oplus id"] \arrow[r, phantom, "(I)", shift left=6] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(III)"] & F(0\oplus X) \arrow[l, "\breve{F}"'] \arrow[r, "F(g_X)"] \arrow[d, "F(u\oplus id)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(IV)"] & FX \arrow[d, "F(\gamma_X(u))"] \\
0\oplus FX \arrow[rrr, bend right=30, "g_{FX}"'] & F0\oplus FX \arrow[l, "\hat{F}\oplus id"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "(V)"', shift right=6] & F(0\oplus X) \arrow[l, "\breve{F}"'] \arrow[r, "F(g_X)"] & FX
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 6

In this diagram, the regions (I) and (V) commute by the compatibility of the functor $(F, \breve{F})$ with the unitivity constraint $(0, g, d)$; the region (IV) commutes by the definition of γ_X (images via F); the region (II) commutes by the diagram in the proof of Remark 4.4; the region (III) commutes by the nature of the isomorphism $\breve{F}$. It follows that the outside region commutes, i.e.,

$$F(\gamma_X(u)) = \gamma_{FX}(\gamma_{F0}^{-1}(Fu))$$

The second equality of (4.4) is analogously proved. □

To show the invariant of $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ of Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$ we prove the following two theorems

Theorem 15. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be two Ann-categories. Then, each Ann-functor $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ induces a pair of ring homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{rccc}
\overline{F}: & \Pi_0(\mathcal{A}) & \to & \Pi_0(\mathcal{A}') \\
 & clsX & \mapsto & clsFX
\end{array} \qquad ; \qquad
\begin{array}{rccc}
\overset{0}{F}: & \Pi_1(\mathcal{A}) & \to & \Pi_1(\mathcal{A}') \\
 & u & \mapsto & \gamma_{F0}^{-1}(Fu)
\end{array}$$

satisfying the relations

$$\overset{0}{F}(su) = \overline{F}(s)\,\overset{0}{F}(u); \qquad \overset{0}{F}(us) = \overset{0}{F}(u)\overline{F}(s)$$

where $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is regarded as a ring with the null multiplication. Furthermore, F is a equivalence iff $\overline{F}, \overset{0}{F}$ are isomorphisms.

Proof. First, we prove the following lemma

Lemma 16. *With the assumption of Theorem 4.6 the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(A\otimes O) \arrow[r, "F(\widehat{L}^A)"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"'] & FO & O' \arrow[l, "\widehat{F}"'] \arrow[d, equal] \\
FA\otimes FO & FA\otimes O' \arrow[l, "id\otimes\widehat{F}"'] \arrow[r, "\widehat{L}^{FA}"] & O'
\end{tikzcd} \qquad (a)$$

commutes.

Proof. Indeed, consider two $\oplus$-functors $(G_1, \breve{G}_1)$ and $(G_2, \breve{G}_2)$ as below

$$G_1 : X \mapsto F(A \otimes X); \qquad G_2 : X \mapsto FA \otimes FX$$

Clearly, $G_1 = F \circ L^A$. Since L is compatible with the unit $(0, g, d)$ of A and F is compatible with the units $(0, g, d), (0', g', d')$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$, the composition functor $G_1 = F \circ L^A$ is also compatible with the units $(0, g, d), (0', g', d')$.

For a similar reason, the functor $G_2 = L^{FA} \circ F$ is compatible with the unitivity constraints $(0, g, d), (0', g', d')$ of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$. Furthermore, $\oplus$-morphisms of functors

$$\varphi_X = \widetilde{F}_{A,X} : G_1 X \to G_2 X, \qquad X \in \mathrm{ob}\mathcal{A}$$

are isomorphisms, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_1 0 \arrow[rr, "\varphi_0"] \arrow[dr, "\hat{G}_1"'] & & G_2 0 \arrow[dl, "\hat{G}_2"] \\
& 0' &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{b}$$

commutes, where $\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2$ are isomorphisms determined by the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F0 \arrow[rr, "\hat{F}"] & & 0' \\
& G_1 0 = F \circ L(0) \arrow[ul, "F(\hat{L}^A)"] \arrow[ur, "\hat{G}_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
L^{FA} 0' \arrow[rr, "\hat{L}^{FA}"] & & 0' \\
& G_2(0) = L^{FA} \circ F(0) \arrow[ul, "id \otimes \hat{F} = L^{FA}(\hat{F})"] \arrow[ur, "\hat{G}_2"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This means that the diagram (b) is just the diagram (a). $\square$

Now, we prove the proposition by considering the diagram 7

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& O' \arrow[r, "\gamma_{FO}^{-1}(F(\lambda_A(u)))"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(II)"] & O' & \\
& FO \arrow[u, "\widehat{F}"] \arrow[r, "F(\lambda_A(u))"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(III)"] & FO \arrow[u, "\widehat{F}"'] & \\
(I) & F(A \otimes O) \arrow[u, "F(\widehat{L}^A)"] \arrow[r, "F(id \otimes u)"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(IV)"] & F(A \otimes O) \arrow[u, "F(\widehat{L}^A)"'] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"] & (VI) \\
& FA \otimes FO \arrow[r, "id \otimes Fu"] \arrow[d, "id \otimes \widehat{F}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(V)"] & FA \otimes FO \arrow[d, "id \otimes \widehat{F}"] & \\
& FA \otimes O' \arrow[r, "id \otimes \gamma_{FO}^{-1}(Fu)"] \arrow[uuuu, bend left=60, "\widehat{L}^{FA}"] & FA \otimes O' \arrow[uuuu, bend right=60, "\widehat{L}^{FA}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 7

In this diagram, the region (I) commutes by the property of the isomorphism γ_X (the diagram (*)); the region (II) commutes by the definition of $\lambda_A(u)$ (images via F); the region (III) commutes by the nature of the isomorphism $\widetilde{F}$; the region (IV) commutes by the same reason as the region (I) ; the regions (V), (VI) commute by the above lemma. It follows that the outside region commutes, i.e., from the definition of λ_X we have

$$\gamma_{Fo}^{-1}(F(\lambda_A(u))) = \lambda_{FA}(\gamma_{F0}^{-1}Fu)$$

Note that if $s = clA$, then by (4.2), we have

$$\overset{0}{F}(su) = \overset{0}{F}(\lambda_A(u)) = \gamma_{F0}^{-1}(F(\lambda_A(u)))$$

and

$$\lambda_{FA}(\gamma_{F0}^{-1}(Fu)) = \lambda_{FA}(\overset{0}{F}u) = \overline{F}(s)\,\overset{0}{F}(u)$$

It follows that

$$\overset{0}{F}(su) = \overline{F}(s)\,\overset{0}{F}(u)$$

The second relation is analogously proved. After that, we can verify that $\overline{F}$ and $\overset{0}{F}$ are two ring homomorphisms. Moreover, F is an equivalence iff $\overline{F}$ and $\overset{0}{F}$ are isomorphisms. This completes the proof. □

5 Reduced Ann-categories

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an Ann-category. Consider the category $\mathcal{S}$ whose objects are the elements of $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$, and whose morphisms are automorphisms, in the concrete, for $r \in \Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{S}}(r) = \{r\} \times \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$$

The composition law of two morphisms is defined by

$$(r,u).(r,v) = (r, u+v)$$

We can use the structure conversion to make $\mathcal{S}$ be an Ann-category which is equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$. In $\mathcal{A}$, we choose the representatives $(X_s), s \in \Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$, and a family of isomorphisms $i_X : X \to X_s$. Then, we can determine two functors:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
G : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S} & H : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A} \\
G(X) = clX = so & H(s) = X_s \\
G(f) = (s, \gamma_{X_s}^{-1}(i_Y f i_X^{-1})) & H(s,u) = \gamma_{X_s}(u)
\end{array}$$

for $X, Y \in s$ and $f : X \to Y$, and γ_X is determined by the diagram (4.0). The functor morphisms $\alpha = (\gamma_{X_s}^{-1}(i_{X_s}^{-1}))_s : GH \to id_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\beta = (i_X^{-1}) : HG \to id\mathcal{A}$ satisfy the conditions (3.2)

$$H * \alpha = \beta * H, \qquad G\beta = \alpha * G$$

Thus, we can perform the structure conversion from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{S}$. In the concrete, the operations $\oplus, \otimes$ determined on the reduced category $\mathcal{S}$ are those obtained by the conversion of the laws $\oplus, \otimes$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by (H, G, α, β), i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{rclcll}
s \oplus t & = & G(H(s) \oplus H(t)) & = & s+t, & s,t \in \Pi_0(\mathcal{A}) \\
s \otimes t & = & G(H(s) \otimes H(t)) & = & st & \\
(s,u) \oplus (t,v) & = & G(H(s,u) \oplus H(t,v)), & & & u,v \in \mathrm{Aut}(0) \\
(s,u) \otimes (t,v) & = & G(H(s,u) \otimes H(t,v)) & & &
\end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 17. *The operations* $\oplus, \otimes$ *of the morphisms have the precise form*

$$\begin{aligned}(s,u)\oplus(t,v) &= (s+t,u+v)\\ (s,u)\otimes(t,v) &= (st,sv+ut),\end{aligned}$$

so they do not depend on the selection of the representatives (X_s, i_X).

Proof. The first relation is proved by Hoang Xuan Sinh [13]. Here, we prove the second one. We have

$$G(H(s,u),H(t,v)) = G(\gamma_{X_s}(u)\otimes\gamma_{X_t}(v)) = (st,\gamma^{-1}_{X_{st}}(i_{X_sX_t}(\gamma_{X_s(u)}\otimes\gamma_{X_t(v)})i^{-1}_{X_sX_t}))$$

Apply the formula (4.4) for the functor L^{X_s} and then apply the formula (4.3), we have

$$id_{X_s}\otimes\gamma_{X_t}(v) = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(\gamma^{-1}_{X_s0}(id\otimes v)) = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(\lambda_{X_s}(v)) = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(sv)$$

Similarly, for the functor R^{X_t}, we have

$$\gamma_{X_s}(u)\otimes id_{X_t} = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(\gamma^{-1}_{0X_t}(u\otimes id)) = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(\rho_{X_t}(u)) = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(ut)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{X_s}(u)\otimes\gamma_{X_t}(v) &= (id_{X_s}\otimes\gamma_{X_t}(v)).(\gamma_{X_s}(u)\otimes id_{X_t})\\ &= \gamma_{X_sX_t}(sv).\gamma_{X_sX_t}(ut) = \gamma_{X_sX_t}(sv+ut)\end{aligned}$$

From this, by the functoriality of $\gamma(u)$, we have

$$i_{X_sX_t}.\gamma_{X_sX_t}(sv+ut).i^{-1}_{X_sX_t} = \gamma_{X_{st}}(sv+ut)$$

So we have the relation $(s,u)\otimes(t,v) = (st,sv+ut)$. □

Proposition 18. $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{S}}(0)$ *is a ring with the null multiplication.*

Proof. Indeed, it follows from the second relation of Proposition 5.1 that

$$(0,u)\otimes(0,v) = (0,0)$$

□

In order to perform the Ann-category structure conversion of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{S}$, we construct the isofunctors $\breve{H}, \widetilde{H}$ of the functor $H : \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{A}$ base on the concept *stick* defined as follows

Definition 4. *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be an Ann-category. A stick in* $\mathcal{A}$ *consists of a representatives* $(X_s), s\in\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ *such that* $X_0 = 0, X_1 = 1$ *and the isomorphisms*

$$\varphi_{s,t} : X_s\oplus X_t\to X_{s+t},\qquad \psi_{s,t} : X_sX_t\to X_{st}$$

for all $s,t\in\Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_{0,t} = g_{X_t},\quad \varphi_{s,0} = d_{X_s}\\ &\psi_{1,t} = 1_{X_t},\quad \psi_{s,1} = r_{X_s},\quad \psi_{0,t} = \hat{R}^{X_t},\quad \psi_{s,0} = \hat{L}^{X_s}\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

The conditions (5.2) for the isomorphisms $\varphi_{s,t}$ and $\psi_{s,t}$ are completely satisfied since $g_0 = d_0, l_1 = r_1$ and $\widehat{R^1} = r_0, \widehat{L^1} = l_0$ (according to the definition of the isofunctors g, d, l, r and Proposition 3.3).

For two operations $\otimes, \oplus$ on $\mathcal{S}$, the unitivity constraints are chosen, respectly, as $(0, id, id)$ and $(1, id, id)$. Then, by setting $\varphi = (\varphi_{s,t})$ and $\psi = (\psi_{s,t})$ we have

Proposition 19. *Let (X_s, φ, ψ) be a stick in Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$. Then $(H, \breve{H} = \varphi^{-1}) : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ is an $\oplus$-functor which is compatible with the constraints $(0, id, id); (0, g, d)$ and $(H, \widetilde{H} = \psi^{-1}) : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ is an $\otimes$-functor which is compatible with the unitivity constraints $(1, id, id), (1, l, r)$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}$ is an Ann-category with the constraints induced by those in $\mathcal{A}$ by $H, \breve{H} = \varphi^{-1}, \widetilde{H} = \psi^{-1}$, and $(H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H})$ is an Ann-equivalence. We call $\mathcal{S}$ a reduced Ann-category of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H})$ is an canonical Ann-equivalence.*

Proof. According to the proof of Proposition 5.1, we can prove that the isomorphisms $\breve{H} = \varphi^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{H} = \psi^{-1}$ are natural. Obviously, $(H, \widetilde{H})$ are compatible with $(0, id, id)$ and $(0, g, d)$ by the definition of stick (here $\hat{H} : H(0) \to 0$ is the identity). We also deduce analogously for $(H, \widetilde{H})$. The functor H and the isomorphisms $\breve{H}, \widetilde{H}$ satisfy the conditions of the structure conversion. Thus $\mathcal{S}$ becomes an Ann-category with the structure induced by $H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H}$, and $(H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H})$ becomes an Ann-equivalence. ☐

The precise determation of the induced constraints of the reduced Ann-category $\mathcal{S}$ and their properties are presented, respectively, in the propositions 5.5 - 5.8 as follows

Proposition 20. *Let a_+, c be the associativity and commutativity constraint of the operation $\oplus$ of Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$. Then, the associativity constraint $\xi = H^*(a_+)$ and the commutativity constraint $\eta = H^*(c)$ induced on $\mathcal{S}$, respectively, determined by the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r \oplus (X_s \oplus X_t) \arrow[r, "id \oplus \varphi_{s,t}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{a}"'] & X_r \oplus X_{s+t} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{r,s+t}"] & X_{r+s+t} \arrow[d, "\gamma_{X_{r+s+t}}(\xi(r,s,t))"] \\
(X_r \oplus X_s) \oplus X_t \arrow[r, "\varphi_{r,s} \oplus id"] & X_{r+s} \oplus X_t \arrow[r, "\varphi_{r+s,t}"] & X_{r+s+t}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r \oplus X_s \arrow[r, "\varphi_{r,s}"] \arrow[d, "c"'] & X_{r+s} \arrow[d, "\gamma_{X_{r+s}}(\eta(r,s))"] \\
X_s \oplus X_r \arrow[r, "\varphi_{s,r}"] & X_{s+r}
\end{tikzcd}$$

are two functions whose values in $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ and satisfy the equalities:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\xi(s,t,u) - \xi(r,t,s) + \xi(r,s+t,u) - \xi(r,s,t+u) + \xi(r,s,t) & = & 0 \\
\xi(0,s,t) = \xi(r,0,t) = \xi(r,s,0) & = & 0 \\
\eta(r,s) + \eta(s,r) & = & 0 \\
\xi(r,s,t) - \xi(r,t,s) + \xi(t,r,s) + \eta(r+s,t) - \eta(r,t) - \eta(s,t) & = & 0
\end{array}$$

and hence $\eta(0,s) = \eta(r,0) = 0$.

Proof. By the structure conversion, we have $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus)$ is a symmetric monoidal category. Thus, the axioms of this category give us the above equalities. ☐

Proposition 21. *The reduced Ann-category $\mathcal{S}$ has the associativity constraint inducing $\alpha = H^*(a)$ to the operation $\otimes$, determined by the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r(X_sX_t) \arrow[r, "id \otimes \psi_{s,t}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{a}"'] & X_rX_{st} \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,st}"] & X_{rst} \arrow[d, "\gamma_{X_{rst}}(\alpha(r,s,t))"] \\
(X_rX_s)X_t \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,s} \otimes id"] & X_{rs}X_t \arrow[r, "\varphi_{rs,t}"] & X_{rst}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a function $\pi_0(\mathcal{A})^3 \to \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the relations:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
r\alpha(s,t,u) - \alpha(rs,t,u) + \alpha(r,st,u) - \alpha(r,s,tu) + \alpha(r,s,t)u & = & 0 \\
\alpha(1,s,t) = \alpha(r,1,t) = \alpha(r,s,1) & = & 0 \\
\alpha(0,s,t) = \alpha(r,0,t) = \alpha(r,s,0) & = & 0
\end{array}$$

Proof. By the structure conversion, $(\mathcal{S}, \otimes)$ is a monoidal category with the strict unitivity constraint so from the compatibility of a with $(1, id, id)$ we obtain $\alpha(1, s, t) = \alpha(r, 1, t) = \alpha(r, s, 1) = 0$. The first relation follows from the Pentagon Axiom. Finally, we have to prove

$$\alpha(0, s, t) = \alpha(r, 0, t) = \alpha(r, s, 0) = 0$$

- For $r = 0$, we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0(X_sX_t) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\psi_{s,t}"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[drr, "\hat{R}^{X_sX_t}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(II)"] & 0X_{st} \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^{X_{st}}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(I)"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\gamma_0(\alpha(0,s,t))"] \\
(0X_s)X_t \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^{X_s}\otimes id"] & 0X_t \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^{X_t}"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

  In this diagram, the region (II) commutes by the properties of the isomorphisms R^A; the outside region commutes by the determation of α . It follows that the region (I) commutes. Since the properties of the isomorphisms R^A (Lemma 3.3), which yields $\gamma_0(\alpha(0, s, t)) = id$, i.e., $\alpha(0, s, t) = 0$.

- For $s = 0$ and $t = 0$ we, respectively, consider the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r(0X_t) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\hat{R}^{X_t}"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & X_r0 \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^{X_r}"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\gamma_0(\alpha(r,0,t))"] \\
(X_r0)X_t \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^{X_r}\otimes id"] & 0X_t \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^{X_t}"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r(X_s0) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\hat{L}^{X_s}"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & X_r0 \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^{X_r}"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\gamma_0(\alpha(r,s,0))"] \\
(X_rX_s)0 \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,s}\otimes id"] \arrow[urr, "\hat{L}^{X_rX_s}"] & X_{rs}0 \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^{X_{rs}}"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

  and also applying the properties of the isomorphisms L^A, R^A (Lemma 3.3), we obtain

$$\alpha(r, 0, t) = \alpha(r, s, 0) = 0$$

□

Proposition 22. *Let $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}$ be the distributivity constraints of the Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$. Then the inducing distributivity constraints $\lambda = H^*(\mathfrak{L}), \rho\ H^*(\mathfrak{R})$ defined by the commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r(X_s \oplus X_t) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\varphi_{s,t}"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{L}"'] & X_rX_{s+t} \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,s+t}"] & X_{r(s+t)} \arrow[d, "\gamma_{X_{r(s+t)}}(\lambda(r,s,t))"] \\
(X_rX_s) \oplus (X_rX_t) \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,s}\oplus\psi_{r,t}"] & X_{rs} \oplus X_{rt} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{rs,rt}"] & X_{rs+rt}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X_r \oplus X_s)X_t) \arrow[r, "\varphi_{r,s}\otimes id"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{R}"'] & X_{r+s}X_t \arrow[r, "\psi_{r+s,t}"] & X_{(r+s)t} \arrow[d, "\gamma_{X_{(r+s)t}}(\rho(r,s,t))"] \\
(X_rX_t) \oplus (X_sX_t) \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,t}\oplus\psi_{s,t}"] & X_{rt} \oplus X_{st} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{rt,st}"] & X_{rt+st}
\end{tikzcd}$$

are the functions satisfying the properties

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\lambda(1,s,t) & = & \lambda(0,s,t) & = & \lambda(r,0,t) & = & \lambda(r,s,0) & = & 0 \\
\rho(0,s,t) & = & \rho(r,0,t) & = & \rho(r,s,0) & = & \rho(r,s,1) & = & 0
\end{array}$$

Proof. The pair (λ, ρ) defined as above is just the distributivity constraints induced by $(H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H})$ and $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}$ by the diagrams (3.1a), (3.1b). Since $\mathcal{S}$ is an Ann-category whose strict unitivity constraint to the operation $\otimes$, it follows from the axiom (Ann-3) that $\lambda(1, s, t) = \rho(r, s, 1) = 0$.

For $r = 0$, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0(X_s \oplus X_t) \arrow[r, "id\otimes\varphi_{s,t}"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{L}"'] \arrow[drr, "\hat{R}^{X_s\oplus X_t}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(I)"] & 0X_{s+t} \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^{X_{s+t}}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(II)"] & 0 \arrow[d, "\gamma_0(\lambda(0,s,t))"] \\
0X_s \oplus 0X_t \arrow[r, "\hat{R}^{X_s}\oplus\hat{R}^{X_t}"] & 0 \oplus 0 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the outside region commutes by the determation of the inducing isomorphism λ; the region (I) commutes by the properties of the isomorphisms R^A. It follows that the region (II) commutes. Then, since the properties of R^A, we have $\gamma_0(\lambda(0, s, t)) = id$. Since γ_0 is an isomorphisms, $\lambda(0, s, t) = 0$.

For $s = 0$, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_r(0 \oplus X_t) \arrow[r, "id\otimes g"] \arrow[dd, "\mathfrak{L}"'] & X_rX_t \arrow[r, "\psi_{r,t}"] & X_{rt} \arrow[dd, "\gamma_{X_{rt}}(\lambda(r,0,t))"] \\
\text{(I)} & 0 \oplus X_rX_t \arrow[u, "g"'] \arrow[d, "id\oplus\psi_{r,t}"] & \text{(III)} \\
X_r0 \oplus X_rX_t \arrow[ur, "\hat{L}^{X_r}\oplus id"] \arrow[r, "\hat{L}^{X_r}\oplus\psi_{r,t}"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "(II)"', near end] & 0 \oplus X_{rt} \arrow[r, "g"'] & X_{rt}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the outside region commutes by the determation of the inducing isomorphism λ; the region (I) commutes by the compatibility of the distributivity constraint $\mathfrak{L}$ with the unitivity constraint $(0, g, d)$; the region (II) commutes by the composition law of morphisms. It follows that the region (III) commutes. But by the functoriality of g, this means that $\gamma_{X_{rt}}(\lambda(r, 0, t)) = id$. Since $\gamma_{X_{rt}}$ is an isomorphism, $\lambda(r, 0, t) = 0$.

Similarly, we have $\lambda(r, s, 0) = 0$ and $\rho(0, s, t) = \rho(r, 0, t) = \rho(r, s, 0)$. □

The above results suggest us to state the following proposition

Proposition 23. *In the reduced Ann-category* $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}, \xi, \eta, (0, id, id), \alpha, (1, id, id), \lambda, \rho)$, *the constraints* $\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho$ *are the functions whose values in* $\Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ *and which satisfy the following relations for all* $x, y, z, t \in \Pi_0(\mathcal{A})$.

1. $\xi(y, z, t) - \xi(x + y, z, t) + \xi(x, y + z, t) - \xi(x, y, z + t) + \xi(x, y, z) = 0$
2. $\xi(0, y, z) = \xi(x, 0, z) = \xi(x, y, 0) = 0$
3. $\xi(x, y, z) - \xi(x, z, y) + \xi(z, x, y) + \eta(x + y, z) - \eta(x, z) - \eta(y, z) = 0$
4. $\eta(x, y) + \eta(y, x) = 0$
5. $x\eta(y, z) - \eta(xy, xz) = \lambda(x, y, z) - \lambda(x, z, y)$
6. $\eta(x, y)z - \eta(xz, yz) = \rho(x, y, z) - \rho(y, x, z)$
7. $x\xi(y, z, t) - \xi(xy, xz, xt) = \lambda(x, z, t) - \lambda(x, y + z, t) + \lambda(x, y, z + t) - \lambda(x, y, z)$
8. $\xi(x, y, z)t - \xi(xt, yt, zt) = \rho(y, z, t) - \rho(x + y, z, t) + \rho(x, y + z, t) - \rho(x, y, z)$
9. $\rho(x, y, z + t) - \rho(x, y, z) - \rho(x, y, t) + \lambda(x, z, t) + \lambda(y, z, t) - \lambda(x + y, z, t) =$
   $\xi(xz + xt, yz, yt) + \xi(xz, xt, yz) - \eta(xt, yz) + \xi(xz + yz, xt, yt) - \xi(xz, yz, xt)$
10. $\alpha(x, y, z + t) - \alpha(x, y, z) - \alpha(x, y, t) = x\alpha(y, z, t) + \lambda(x, yz, yt) - \lambda(xy, z, t)$
11. $\alpha(x, y + z, t) - \alpha(x, y, t) - \alpha(x, z, t) = x\rho(y, z, t) - \rho(xy, xz, t) + \lambda(x, yt, zt) -$
    $- \lambda(x, y, z)t$
12. $\alpha(x + y, z, t) - \alpha(x, y, t) - \alpha(y, z, t) = -\rho(x, y, z)t - \rho(xz, yz, t) + \rho(x, y, zt)$
13. $x\alpha(y, z, t) - \alpha(xy, z, t) + \alpha(x, yz, t) - \alpha(x, y, zt) + \alpha(x, y, z)t = 0$
14. $\alpha(1, y, z) = \alpha(x, 1, z) = \alpha(x, y, 1) = 0$
15. $\alpha(0, y, z) = \alpha(x, 0, z) = \alpha(x, y, 0) = 0$
16. $\lambda(1, y, z) = \lambda(0, y, z) = \lambda(x, 0, z) = \lambda(x, y, 0) = 0$
17. $\rho(x, y, 1) = \rho(0, y, z) = \rho(x, 0, z) = \rho(x, y, 0) = 0.$

Proof. The relations 1 - 4 and 13 - 17 were just proved right in the Propositions 5.5 - 5.7. The remaining relations follow directly from the axioms of Ann-categories. (Here, the order of the relations as above differs from their order in the definition but it is convenient for the later use). ☐

Thus, from a *stick* (X_s, φ, ψ) of Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$, we establish a reduced Ann-category $\mathcal{S}$ which is Ann-equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$. We have known that the operations induced on $\mathcal{S}$ do not depend on the selection of the stick. We now consider the effect of different choices of sticks in the inducing constraints on $\mathcal{S}$. For convenience, we also call $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ is a family of *constraints* of Ann-category $\mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 24. *If $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ are two reduced Ann-categories of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponding to two sticks $(X_s, \varphi, \psi), (X'_s, \varphi', \psi')$ then*

1. There exists an Ann-equivalence $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}$, where $F = id$

2. Two families of the constraints $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ and $(\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$, respectively, of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\xi'(x,y,z) - \xi(x,y,z) & = & \mu(y,z) - \mu(x+y,z) + \mu(x,y,z) - \mu(x,y) \\
\eta'(x,y) - \eta(y,x) & = & \mu(x,y) - \mu(y,x) \\
\alpha'(x,y,z) - \alpha(x,y,z) & = & x\nu(y,z) - \nu(xy,z) + \nu(x,yz) - \nu(x,y)z \\
\lambda'(x,y,z) - \lambda(x,y,z) & = & \nu(x,y+z) - \nu(x,y) - \nu(x,z) + x\mu(y,z) - \mu(xy,xz) \\
\rho'(x,y,z) - \rho(x,y,z) & = & \nu(x+y,z) - \nu(x,z) - \nu(y,z) + \mu(x,y)z - \mu(xz,yz)
\end{array}$$

where $\mu, \nu : \Pi_0(\mathcal{A})^2 \to \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ are two functions satisfying the conditions $\mu(0,y) = \mu(x,0) = 0$ and $\nu(0,y) = \nu(x,o) = \nu(1,y) = \nu(x,1) = 0$.

Proof. 1. According to Proposition 5.4, $(H, \varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1})$ and $(H', \varphi'^{-1}, \psi'^{-1})$ are two canonical Ann-equivalence corresponding to $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$. Then there exits an Ann-equivalence $K, \breve{K}, \widetilde{K} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}$ which carries each object X to the class consisting of X. Setting $F = KH', \breve{F} = \breve{KH'}$, we obtain $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}$ is an Ann-equivalence (according to II.1.2.[9]) and $F = id$.

2. Setting $\mu = \overset{\smile}{KH'}, \nu = \widetilde{KH'}$. Then, from the compatibility of Ann-functor $(F = id, \mu, \nu)$ with the corresponding constraints of $\mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{S}$, we obtain the above relations. Moreover, we can verify that $\mu(o,y) = \nu(x,1) = 0$ by the "normality" of the functions $\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho$. ☐

Proposition 25. *In Proposition 5.9, we can choose the stick (X'_s, φ', ψ') instead of the stick (X_s, φ, ψ) such that $\mu, \nu : \Pi_0(\mathcal{A})^2 \to \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ are two arbitrary functions satisfying the conditions $\mu(x,0) = \mu(o,y) = 0$ and $\nu(x,0) = \nu(0,y) = \nu(x,1) = \nu(1,y) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that μ, ν are two functions mentioned in the proposition. After choosing the representatives (X'_s) such that $X'_0 = 0, X'_1 = 1$, we may construct the function $H' : \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{A}$. Then $\breve{H}', \widetilde{H}'$ are chosen such that $\overset{\smile}{KH'} = \mu$ and $\widetilde{KH'} = \nu$, where $(K, \breve{K}, \widetilde{K})$ is mentioned in the proof of Proposition 5.9. It follows that $\varphi' = (\breve{H}')^{-1}, \psi' = (\widetilde{H}')^{-1}$. ☐

6 Pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M)

By Theorem 4.6, we may simplify the problem of equivalence classification of Ann-categories by the classification of Ann-categories which have the same (up to an isomorphism) ring Π_0 and Π_0-bimodule Π_1.

Definition 5. *Let R be a ring with the unit, M be an R-bimodule and be a ring with the null multiplication. We say that Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$ has the pre-stick of the type (R, M) if there exists a pair of ring isomorphismms $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1)$*

$$\epsilon_0 : R \to \Pi_0(\mathcal{A}), \qquad \epsilon_1 : M \to \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$$

which is compatible with the module actions, i.e.,

$$\epsilon_1(su) = \epsilon_0(s).\epsilon_1(u), \qquad \epsilon_1(us) = \epsilon_1(u).\epsilon_0(s)$$

for $s \in R, u \in M$. The pair $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1)$ is called a pre-stick of the type (R, M) of Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$.

A *morphism* between two pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ (with, respectively, the pre-sticks ϵ, ϵ') is an Ann-functor $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that the following triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_0(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[rr, "\overline{F}"] & & \Pi_0(\mathcal{A}') & & \Pi_1(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[rr, "\overset{0}{F}"] & & \Pi_1(\mathcal{A}') & \\
& R \arrow[ul, "\epsilon_0"] \arrow[ur, "\epsilon'_0"'] & & & & M \arrow[ul, "\epsilon_1"] \arrow[ur, "\epsilon'_1"'] & & (6.1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute, where $\overline{F}$ and $\overset{0}{F}$ are two ring homomorphisms induced from $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$

Clearly, it immediately follows from the definition that $\overline{F}$ and $\overset{0}{F}$ are ring isomorphisms and thus F is an equivalence.

Note that in an Ann-category of the type (R, M), two unitivity constraints of $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ are strict, so we have the following definition

Definition 6. *A structure of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) is a tuple of the constraints $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ of Ann-category of the type (R, M).*

Let $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ and $(\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ be two structures of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M). They are *cohomologous* iff they satisfy the relation mentioned in Proposition 5.9.

Clearly, we have

Lemma 26. *Two structures f, f' are cohomologous iff they are two families of the constraints of an Ann-category (R, M) which are compatible with each other to the Ann-functor $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : (R, M) \to (R, M)$, where $F = id_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

We immediately have an application of this concept by showing that it is possible to establish a correspondence from the set of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) to the set of cohomology classes of the structures of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M).

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a pre-sticked Ann-category of the type (R, M). In $\mathcal{A}$, we choose a stick (X_s, φ, ψ). Then, this stick induces the reduced Ann-category $\mathcal{S}$ together with the Ann-categorical equivalences $(G, \breve{G}, \widetilde{G})$, $(H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H})$ as in section 5. Assume that $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1)$ is a pre-stick of the type (R, M) of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the category constructed from the ring R and R-bimodule M, which is similar as the reduced Ann-category when we replace $\Pi_0(\mathcal{A}), \Pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ by, respectively, R, M. Then, since $\epsilon : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{S}$ is an isomorphism, we can perform the structure conversion from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{I}$ so that $\mathcal{I}$ becomes an Ann-category where

$$(\epsilon, id, id) : \mathcal{I}(R, M) \to \mathcal{S}$$

is an Ann-equivalence. Therefore, we obtain a structure $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ which is a family of constraints of $\mathcal{I}$ induced by the family of constraints of $\mathcal{S}$.

Now, if we choose in $\mathcal{A}$ an other stick (X'_s, φ', ψ'), we will obtain a corresponding structure $(\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ in the same way. Then, from Proposition 5.9 and the isomorphic property of ϵ, it is easy to see that the structures $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ and $(\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ are cohomologous.

Moreover, we need prove the following proposition

Proposition 27. *Two pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) are equivalent due to (4.1) iff two cohomology classes of their structures coincide.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are two pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) which are equivalent, i.e., there exists an Ann-equivalence $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that the diagrams (6.1) commute. In $\mathcal{A}$, we choose an arbitrary stick (X_s, φ, ψ) and from that, we obtain the structure $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho) = f$ via the canonical Ann-equivalences:

$$\mathcal{I}(R, M) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon, id, id)} \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{(H, \breve{H}, \widetilde{H})} \mathcal{A}$$

Similarly, in $\mathcal{A}'$ we choose the stick (X'_s, φ', ψ') and obtain the structure $(\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho') = f'$ via the canonical Ann-equivalences:

$$\mathcal{I}(R, M) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon', id, id)} \mathcal{S}' \xrightarrow{(H', \breve{H}', \widetilde{H}')} \mathcal{A}'$$

Ann-equivalence $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ induces the pair of ring isomorphisms $(\overline{F}, \overset{0}{F})$; this pair is regarded as an Ann-functor $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}'$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S} \arrow[rr, "(\overline{F}{,}\overset{0}{F})"] & & \mathcal{S}' \\
& \mathcal{I} \arrow[ul, "\epsilon"] \arrow[ur, "\epsilon'"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

Like in the proof of Proposition 5.9, there exists an Ann-equivalence $(G, \breve{G}', \widetilde{G}') : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{S}'$ such that $G'H' \simeq id$. Then, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F"] & \mathcal{A}' \arrow[d, "G'"] \\
\mathcal{S} \arrow[u, "H"] \arrow[r, "(\overline{F}{,}\overset{0}{F})"] & \mathcal{S}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Set $K = \epsilon'.G'.F.H.\epsilon$, then $(K, \breve{K}, \widetilde{K}) : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}$ is an Ann-equivalence which is compatible with the constraints f, f'. Since $K = id$, from the diagram determation the compatibility of K with the constraints f, f', it follows that f and f' satisfy the relations mentioned in Proposition 5.9. Hence, f and f' are two cohomologous structures.

Conversely, assume that two structures f and f' are cohomologous. Then, by Lemma 6.3, there exists an Ann-functor

$$(K, \breve{K}, \widetilde{K}) : \mathcal{I}(R, M) \to \mathcal{I}(R, M)$$

where $K = id$, which is compatible with the family of the constraints $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, $(\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$. Then, we consider the Ann-functor of the composition

$$F = H'.\epsilon', K.\epsilon^{-1}.G : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$$

where $(G, \breve{G}, \widetilde{G}) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}$ is an Ann-equivalence such that $G.H \simeq id$. Clearly, $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ is an Ann- equivalence and the pair of inducing ring isomorphisms $(\overline{F}, \overset{0}{F}) : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}'$ makes the diagrams (4.0), (4.1) commute. This shows that $(F, \breve{F}, \widetilde{F})$ is a morphism and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ are in the same congruence class. □

The following theorem follows from Theorem 6.4

Theorem 28. *There exists a bijection between the set of congruence classes of the pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type* (R, M) *and the set of cohomologous classes of the structures of Ann-categories of the type* (R, M).

7 Classification theorems

Let us recall that an Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$ is *regular* if its commutativity constraint satisfies the condition $c_{X,X} = id$, for all objects $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, the structure $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies 17 relations mentioned in Theorem 5.8 and the relation $\eta(x, x) = 0$.

The classification theorem for regular Ann-categories was completely presented in [8], and briefly presented in [9], [10]. In order to apply the Shukla cohomology [16] into the classification of regular Ann-categories, we introduced an axiclic complex $\epsilon : U \to R$ which differs from two axiclic complexes that Shukla and MacLane done. With this axiclic complex, we have proved that each structure of $(\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ is just a 3-cocycle of $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra R, with the coefficients in R-bimodule M due to Shukla. Moreover, we have

Theorem 29. *(Theorem 4.4[10]) There exists a bijection between the set of congruence classes of regular pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type* (R, M) *and the cohomology group* $H^3_S(R, M)$ *of the ring* R*, regarded as a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-algebra, with coefficients in* R*-bimodule* M*.*

We now state and prove the classification theorem in the general case.

Theorem 30. *There exists a bijection between the set of congruence classes of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type* (R, M) *and the cohomology group* $H^3(R, M)$ *of the ring* R*, with the coefficients in* R*-bimodule* M*, due to MacLane.*

The assignment each cohomology class of the structure of pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R, M) to an element of the MacLane cohomology group $H^3(R, M)$ was presented in [1] of M.Jblatze and T. Pirashvili. Authors noted that there is a difference between the Shukla cohomology and the MacLane cohomology in the 3th-dimension, and used the MacLane cohomology to classify *categorical rings*, a variant of Ann-categories. The relationship of two concepts was presented in [14].

From the definition of ring cohomology of MacLane [6], we may obtain the description of the elements of cohomology group $H^3(R, M)$.

The group $Z^3(R, M)$ of MacLane of 3-cochains of R, with the coefficients in M, consists of the quadruples $(\sigma, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ of the maps:

$$\sigma : R^4 \to M; \quad \alpha, \lambda, \rho : R^3 \to M;$$

satisfying the following conditions

v1. $x\alpha(y, z, t) - \alpha(xy, z, t) + \alpha(x, yz, t) - \alpha(x, y, zt) + \alpha(x, y, z)t = 0$
v2. $\alpha(1, y, z) = \alpha(x, 1, z) = \alpha(x, y, 1) = 0$
$\alpha(0, y, z) = \alpha(x, 0, z) = \alpha(x, y, 0) = 0$
v3. $x\lambda(y, z, t) + \lambda(x, yz, yt) - \lambda(xy, z, t) = \alpha(x, y, z) + \alpha(x, y, t) - \alpha(x, y, z + t)$

v4. $\alpha(x,y,t)+\alpha(x,z,t)-\alpha(x,y+z,t)+x\rho(y,z,t)-\rho(xy,xz,t)+\lambda(x,yt,zt)-\lambda(x,y,z)t=0$

v5. $\rho(xz,yz,t)-\rho(x,y,zt)+\lambda(x,y,z)t=\alpha(x+y,z,t)-\alpha(x,z,t)-\alpha(y,z,t)$

v6. $\sigma(ax,ay,az,at)-a\sigma(x,y,z,t)=\lambda(a,x,z)+\lambda(a,y,t)-\lambda(a,x+z,y+t)-\lambda(a,x,y)-\lambda(a,z,t)+\lambda(a,x+z,y+t)$

v7. $\sigma(ax,ay,bx,by)=\lambda(a,x,y)+\lambda(b,x,y)-\lambda(a+b,x,y)-\rho(a,b,x)-\rho(a,b,y)+\rho(a,b,x+y)$

v8. $\sigma(xa,ya,za,ta)-\sigma(x,y,z,t)a=\rho(x,z,a)+\rho(y,t,a)-\rho(x+y,z+t,a)-\rho(x,y,a)-\rho(z,t,a)+\rho(x+z,y+t,a)=0$

v9. $\sigma(a,b,c,d)+\sigma(x,y,z,t)-\sigma(a+x,b+y,c+z,d+t)+\sigma(a,b,x,y)+\sigma(c,d,z,t)-\sigma(a+c,b+d,x+z,y+t)+\sigma(a,c,x,z)+\sigma(b,d,y,t)-\sigma(a+b,c+d,x+y,z+t)=0$

v10. $\sigma(0,0,z,t)=\sigma(x,y,0,0)=\sigma(0,y,0,t)=\sigma(x,0,z,0)=\sigma(x,0,0,t)=0$

The group $B^3(R,M)$ of 3-coboundaries consists of the quadruples $(\sigma,\alpha,\lambda,\rho)$ such that there exist the maps $\mu,\nu:R^2\to M$ satisfying:

v11. $\sigma(x,y,z,t)=\mu(x,y)+\mu(z,t)-\mu(x+z,y+t)-\mu(x,z)-\mu(y,t)+\mu(x+y,z+t)$

v12. $\alpha(x,y,z)=x\nu(y,z)-\nu(xy,z)+\nu(x,yz)-\nu(x,y)z$

v13. $\lambda(x,y,z)=\nu(x,y+z)-\nu(x,y)-\nu(x,z)+x\mu(y,z)-\mu(xy,xz)$

v14. $\rho(x,y,z)=\nu(x+y,z)-\nu(x,z)-\nu(y,z)+\mu(x,y)z-\mu(xz,yz)$.

Finally,

$$H^3(R,M)=Z^3(R,M)/B^3(R,M)$$

Now we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an pre-sticked Ann-categories of the type (R,M) and $(\xi,\eta,\alpha,\lambda,\rho)$ is one of its structures. We define a function $\sigma:R^4\to M$ given by

$$\sigma(x,y,z,t)=\xi(x+y,z,t)-\xi(x,y,z)+\eta(y,z)+\xi(x,z,y)-\xi(x+z,y,t) \quad (7.1)$$

This equality shows that the function σ is just the morphism v in the Ann-category of the type (R,M).
The normalization of the function σ follows from the normalization of the functions ξ,η

$$\sigma(0,0,z,t)=\sigma(x,y,0,0)=\sigma(0,y,0,t)=\sigma(x,0,z,0)=\sigma(x,0,0,t)=0.$$

We now prove some properties of the function σ.

Proposition 31. *The function σ defined by (7.1) satisfies the equalities v6, v7, v8,v9 of a 3-cocycle of the ring R due to MacLane.*

Proof. According to the coherence theorem in Ann-categories of the type (R,M), the following diagrams commute. The equalities v6, v8, v9, respectively, follow. The equality v7 follows from the commutativity of the fourth diagram in the axiom $Ann{-}2$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& a(x+y)+a(z+t) \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{L}"] & \\
a[(x+y)+(z+t)] \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{L}"'] \arrow[d, "a\otimes\sigma"'] & & (ax+ay)+(az+at) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
a[(x+z)+(y+t)] \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{L}"] & & (ax+az)+(ay+at) \\
& a(x+z)+a(y+t) \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{L}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 8

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& (x+y)a+(z+t)a \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{R}"] & \\
[(x+y)+(z+t)]a \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{R}"'] \arrow[d, "\sigma\otimes a"'] & & (xa+ya)+(za+ta) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
[(x+z)+(y+t)]a \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{R}"] & & (xa+za)+(ya+ta) \\
& (x+z)a+(y+t)a \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{R}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 9

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[(a+b)+(c+d)]+[(x+y)+(z+t)]} \arrow[r, "v"] \arrow[d, "v+v"] & {[(a+b)+(x+y)]+[(c+d)+(z+t)]} \arrow[d, "v+v"] \\
{[(a+c)+(b+d)]+[(x+z)+(y+t)]} \arrow[d, "v"] & {[(a+x)+(b+y)]+[(c+z)+(d+t)]} \arrow[d, "v"] \\
{[(a+c)+(x+z)]+[(b+d)+(y+t)]} \arrow[r, "v+v"] & {[(a+x)+(c+z)]+[(b+y)+(d+t)]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 10

□

Proposition 32. *The quadruple $(\sigma, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ of the constraints is an element belonging to $Z^3(R, M)$.*

Proof. Indeed, some equalities of a 3-cocycle have just been proved, the remaining equalities follows from the axiomatics of Ann-categories. □

Proposition 33. *Let $f = (\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, $f' = (\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ be two cohomologous structure of Ann-categories of the type (R, M) and $(F = id, \breve{F}, \tilde{F})$ be an Ann-functor which is compatible with them. Then the functions σ, σ' are, respectively, compatible* *with* $(F = id, \breve{F}, \tilde{F})$ *in the sense that the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F((a+b)+(x+y)) \arrow[d, "F(v)"'] & F(a+b)+F(x+y) \arrow[l, "\tilde{F}"'] \\
F((a+x)+(b+y)) & (F(a)+F(b))+(F(x)+F(y)) \arrow[u, "\tilde{F}+\tilde{F}"'] \arrow[d, "v'"] \\
F(a+x)+F(b+y) \arrow[u, "\tilde{F}"'] & (F(a)+F(x))+(F(b)+F(y)) \arrow[l, "\tilde{F}+\tilde{F}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(Diagram 11)

commutes.

Proof. This diagram commutes by the coherence of a monoidal symmetric $\oplus$-functor □

Note that $F = id$ and $\breve{F} = \mu$, the above commutative diagram yields the equality

$$\sigma(x,y,z,t) - \sigma'(x,y,z,t) = \mu(x+y,z+t) + \mu(x,y) + \mu(z,t) - \mu(x+z,y+t) - \mu(x,z) - \mu(y,t)$$

Corollary 34. *Two structures* $f = (\xi, \eta, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, $f' = (\xi', \eta', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ *of an Ann-category of the type* (R, M) *are cohomologous iff their two corresponding quadruples* $h = (\sigma, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, $h' = (\sigma', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ *belong to the same cohomology class of* $H^3(R, M)$.

Proof. According to Lemma 6.3, two structures f, f' are cohomologous iff they are compatible with an Ann-functor $(F = id, \breve{F}, \tilde{F})$. Then, two corresponding functions σ, σ' are compatible with $(F = id, \breve{F}, \tilde{F})$ and therefore the difference $\sigma - \sigma'$ satisfies the relation v11. Together with Proposition 5.9, it follows that $h - h'$ is an element belonging to $B^3(R, M)$. □

The Proof of Theorem 7.2

Proof. Theorem 7.2 is direct consequence of Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 7.6. □

Corollary 35. *There exists an injection from* $H^3_S(R, M)$ *to* $H^3(R, M)$.

Proof. It directly follows from Theorems 7.1, 7.2. □

References

[1] M. Jiblatze and T. Pirashvili, *Third Mac Lane cohomology via categorical rings,* arXiv: 0608519 [math CT] v1, 21 Aug 2006. 0711.3659 [math CT]

[2] Christian Kassel, *Quantum Groups,* Graduate Texts in Math, No 155, Springer, 1995.

[3] M. L. Laplaza, *Coherence for distributivity,* Lecture Notes in Math, 281 (1972), 29-65.

[4] S. Mac Lane , *Natural associativity and commutativity,* Rice University Studies, V49, No 4 (1963), 28-46.

[5] S. Mac Lane, *Extensions and Obstruction for rings,* Illinois J. Mathematics, 2(1958), 316-345.

[6] S. Mac Lane. *Homologie des anneaux et des modules.* Colloque de Topologie algebrique. Louvain (1956), 55 - 88.

[7] N. T. Quang, *Introduction to Ann-categories,* J. Math. Hanoi, No.15, 4 (1987), 14-24.

[8] N. T. Quang, *Coherence for Ann-categories,* J. Math. Hanoi, No.16, 1 (1988),17-26.

[9] Nguyen Tien Quang, *Ann-categories. Doctoral dissertation,* Hanoi, Vietnamese, 1988.

[10] N. T. Quang, *Structure of Ann-categories and Mac Lane-Shukla cohomology of rings,* (Russian) Abelian groups and modues, No. 11,12, Tomsk. Gos. Univ., Tomsk (1994), 166-183.

[11] Nguyen Tien Quang, *Structure of Ann-categories and Mac Lane-Shukla cohomology,* East-West Journal of Mathematics, Vol 5, No 1 (2003), 51-66.

[12] N. T. Quang, *Structure of Ann-categories of type (R,N),* Vietnam J. of Math, 32; 4 (2004), 379-388.

[13] N. T. Quang and P. L. H. Anh, *On monoidal equivalenses and Ann-equivalenses,* arXiv: 0705.0736 [math.CT] 5 May 2007.

[14] N. T. Quang, *On Gr-functors between Gr-categories,* arXiv: 0708.1348 [math CT] 9 Aug 2007.

[15] N. T. Quang, D. D. Hanh and N. T. Thuy, *On the Axiomatics of Ann-categories,* arXiv: 0711.3659 [math CT] 23 Nov 2007.

[16] U. Shukla, *Cohomologie des algebras associatives,* Thse. Paris (1960).

[17] Hoang Xuan Sinh, *Gr-categories,* Thesis, Paris (1975).